

Neo-conservative Order

by PLAYTOH

Beware the leader who bangs the drums of war in order to whip the citizenry into a patriotic fervor, for patriotism is indeed a double-edged sword. It both emboldens the blood, just as it narrows the mind. And when the drums of war have reached fever pitch and the blood boils with hate and the mind has closed, the leader will have no need in seizing the rights of the citizenry. Rather, the citizenry, infused with fear and blinded by patriotism, will offer up all their rights unto the leader and gladly so. How do I know? For this is what I have done. And I am Caesar.

JC¹

¹ Unless cited, indented unreferenced quotes are from www.Quoteworld.com

The 20th century has been characterized by three developments of great political importance: the growth of democracy, the growth of corporate power, and the growth of corporate propaganda as a means of protecting corporate power against democracy.

Alex Carey

The American Enterprise Institute for Public Research (AEI) publishes Charles Krauthammer: *Democratic Realism*, proclaiming American hegemonic primacy happened by accident whereby the great wars of the twentieth century resulted in a European suicide thus bestowing upon the world a U.S global hegemony: a non Imperial commercial republic with overwhelming global power. Krauthammer speaks: 'For the first time in the modern era, the world's most powerful state can operate on the global stage without the constraints of other great powers.'²

Krauthammer tells us we have entered the American 'unipolar' age.' He wants us to believe the status of 'Empire', befell upon the United States by accident. Capitalism, the omnipotent war machine—by necessity to win the just war, innocently was 'afforded a momentous victory', and better still it happened 'without military conflict.'³ America now faced a dilemma: at a crossroad: how to capitalise on this global strategic? Krauthammer and his neoconservative friends wanted to build the advantage and create 'an unchallenged superpower, the United States, attended by its Western allies.'⁴ Having power and not using is moribund according to Krauthammer, to him, all opposing ideologies would wrestle if America allowed it.

² Charles Krauthammer, *Democratic Realism: An American Foreign Policy for a Unipolar World*, Irving Kristol Lecture. 2004

³ Ibid., 2

⁴ Charles Krauthammer, *The Unipolar Moment*, 1991:1

As reality tells it, a great tragedy befell the world and the symbol of democracy on September 11, 2001, when President George Walker Bush declared war against a new enemy: terror. It didn't take long for his special intelligence to gather evidence, accuse Osama Bin Laden and his Islamic Radicals and declare war on countries who harbour them.

The events since Sept. 11 have made famous a unique intellectual cabal that proves⁵ to have the best foreign policy to safeguard the world from crazy terrorists. Enter any one of these names into a "google" search engine: William Kristol, Robert Kagan, Charles Krauthammer, Richard Perle, Paul Wolfowitz, Norman Podhoretz or Michael Ledeen and one would find information not only contained in books from universities. The neoconservatives are placed in positions of great influence throughout all executive branches of American and World governance. They also receive favourable coverage throughout intellectual and mass media.

The following paper aims to discern the motive to wage war and show how war is not a consequence of accident or negligence. It aims to explain how the truth behind knowledge of the Hegelian dialectic wills those with power to perpetuate a philosophy toward destruction. The neoconservatives are the modern day druids who nurture the perfect marriage between those with power and those who are seeking it.

The neoconservative movement is a sophisticated political propaganda machine, working for those who want to control the worlds' wealth and citizenry: in service to the oligarchs, the elite. The current liberal democracy, its victory over Nazi fascism and Soviet communism is built upon a façade as fake as the meaning of the term conservatism in neo-conservative. Outside the paradoxical dialectic of real international

⁵ This can and must be argued, but my emphasis on the fact that the neoconservatives, the President and his cabinet, continue to applaud their success.

events and beyond the prevailing paradigm, there lies an ancient rhetorical strategy. When carried out, it leads the ignorant masses toward annihilation by fighting wars based on fake ideals and fabricated evidence.

Since the change of the political party in charge has not made a difference, who's really in charge? If the particular party in power makes little difference, whose policy is it that permits expanded government programs, increased spending, huge deficits, nation building and the pervasive invasion of our privacy, with fewer Fourth Amendment protections than ever before?

Republican Senator Ron Paul⁶

Ideally, neo-Conservatism would merely dictate an ideological perspective. If anything, a potential guide: shared wisdom, to be utilised by a political constituency. However it is very hard to discern the difference between spokesman and speechwriter, likewise, a theoretical or ideological perspective and the sponsored influence toward implemented government policy. The current ilk, and significant neoconservative influence in American foreign policy can be traced back to Pentagon policy advisor Richard Norman Pearle who in the late 1970's appointed Paul Wolfowitz to participate in a Team B Strategic Objectives Panel. Under the then director of Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) George Herbert Walker Bush they worked to influence the Reagan cold war nuclear proliferation strategies.

Charles Krauthammer published his article '*Universal Dominion*'⁷ in 1989, spelling out the unipolar ideal. He claimed that America should

⁶ Ron Paul contested the Republican Primaries, to this day he may still be a candidate, but we won't know: the media rarely mentions him.

steer away from a multipolar reality and, seeing it was a 'unchallenged superpower', become the apex of the industrial west and take on world leadership: Consequently, Americans should like it and 'exploit it'.⁸

In 1992, at that time Pentagon's Under Secretary for Policy, Paul Wolfowitz advanced the idea that America should reconsider its commitments to international treaties. He circulated a draft with Lewis 'Scooter' Libby intended for Dick Cheney, called *Defence Planning Guidance* (DPG). The document summed up what was to become a major tenet of foreign policy strategy for the United States of America. The now famous 'Wolfowitz Doctrine', outlined that the United States was to enforce its post Cold-War military strength to ensure no rival superpower was to emerge in Western Europe, Asia, or the territories of the former Soviet Union. The controversial draft proclaimed that America as the sole superpower was responsible for world order and therefore should reconsider its commitment to international treaties, to reject collective internationalism and act independently when crises demanded. Most importantly, the DPG insisted that America should use pre-emptive force against any country to prevent the development of mass weapon technology. However, after the document was leaked to the *New York Times*, this particular stipulation was dropped⁹

There is much debate amongst neoconservatives concerning the Bill Clinton Presidency in regards to his multilateral approach to foreign policy. It is described as a stagnant period devoid of patriotism, integrity and national interest. Although America was engaged in

⁷ Charles Krauthammer, '*Universal Dominion: Toward a Unipolar World.*' National Interest, Winter 1989

⁸ Ibid., 10

⁹ '*Defence Planning Guidance*' Department of Defence, 1992. Information leaked by Patrick E. Tyler of the *New York Times*: (<http://www.btinternet.com/~nlpwessex/Documents/Wolfowitz92memo.htm>)

fighting wars on foreign soil (Somalia, Haiti, Bosnia and Kosovo) America was regarded anti-interventionist. The neoconservatives highlighted that a liberal apathy against using force was ruining America's global pre-eminence and sense of nationhood.

The neoconservative voice resonated in the early 1990's through Norman Podhoretz's *Commentary* representing the American Jewish Committee (AJC). A monthly publication Podhoretz established in 1960 and, together with his wife Midge Decter had considerable influence in the Reagan Administration. However, in the 90s he took a back seat and considered a potential demise. Lamenting that perhaps American conservatism had run its course.¹⁰

Then in 1995, The *Weekly Standard* editor William Kristol, son of the acclaimed neoconservative 'Godfather' Irving Kristol and Norman's son John Podhoretz began publishing what was to become a very influential political magazine. It could be argued that the seniors Irving and Norman were conservatives but grappled with their political orientation: from the left moving to the right. The now famous Irving quote 'liberals mugged by reality' cleared a way for the new generation to become the modern neoconservative: "Neoconservatism is the first variant of American conservatism in the past century that is in the 'American grain.' It is hopeful, not lugubrious; forward-looking, not nostalgic; and its general tone is cheerful, not grim or dyspeptic."¹¹

In 1996 William Kristol and Robert Kagan published their article, *Toward a neo-Reaganite foreign policy* in *Foreign Affairs*, maintaining that America pursue a more benevolent hegemony arguing that conservatism was 'adrift' and the only way conservatism could govern, would be through accepting America's role as an active world democratic enforcer. Americans needed to wake from complacency and

¹⁰ Norman Podhoretz, *Neoconservatism: A Eulogy*, Commentary, 1996

¹¹ Irving Kristol, *The Neoconservative Persuasion*, The Weekly Standard 2003

once again be proud of their leading position in world affairs: to celebrate and emulate Reagan and Roosevelt for their pride and exceptionalism, “A neo-Reaganite foreign policy would be good for conservatives, good for America, and good for the world.”¹²

In 1997 another neo-Conservative ‘think tank’ was established, *The Project for the New American Century* (PNAC), upon releasing their statement of principles they furthered the argument for a more dominant global presence, arguing for substantial increase in military spending to promote political and economic freedom abroad. Shortly later, in early 1998 PNAC sent a letter to Clinton requesting decisive action in the removal of Saddam Hussein and his regime from power.¹³ Getting no response from Clinton, PNAC then wrote a similar letter to Newt Gingrich and Trent Lott, the then leaders of the House and Senate.

In Feb 1998 Ahmed Chalabi of the U.S sponsored Iraqi National Congress (INC)¹⁴ along with Paul Wolfowitz and 40 leading political figures sent an open letter to Clinton, once again arguing for urgent regime change in Iraq as the only way to secure the region from threat.¹⁵

In 2000, Robert Kagan, along with William Kristal published *Present Dangers*, a compilation of essays challenging America to take a good hard look at the coming foreign policy crises, to repair their depleted

¹² William Kristol and Robert Kagan, *Toward a Neo-Reaganite Foreign Policy*, 1996:32

¹³ PNAC sends letter to President Bill Clinton.
(<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article5527.htm>)

¹⁴ A public relations media company, Rendon Group, created ING for initiating the overthrow of Saddam Hussein, funded since 1992 to the estimate of US\$97Million.

¹⁵ PNAC sends letter to President Bill Clinton, 1998.
(<http://www.iraqwatch.org/perspectives/rumsfeld-openletter.htm>)

Military, improve missile defence and to rethink about possible adversaries and strategic partners.¹⁶

Later in September 2000, PNAC released their 'Rebuilding America's Defences' document, which furthered the cause for military action and outlined rationale for a Pax Americana global empire. A huge budget spending rationale was argued with a vision to advance the American military toward total control of land, sea, space and cyberspace.

Thus a continual neoconservative barrage for advancing American military dominance permeated the intellectual plateau throughout and after the cold war. However, the measures were too extreme and radical, and although considered and circulated throughout The Pentagon and White House, took a back seat to internationalist multilateral cooperation. Both, Bush Snr and Bill Clinton Presidencies, were reluctant to advance American might in a major war without universal approval.

A prince must have no other objectives or other thoughts or take anything for his craft, except war.

Nicolo Machiavelli

The Fundamental epithet of the revolutionary neoconservative demands America act as a benevolent hegemon to maintain and implement a new world order. As ironic as that may sound, it is just as uncanny to find these 'new' conservatives admiring, quoting and dedicating volumes to the likes of Hobbes and Machiavelli. The acclaimed neoconserative 'grandfather', Irving Kristol was primarily influenced by Leo Strauss, therefore to better understand neoconservative theoretical motives, a little understanding of Strauss is required.

¹⁶ Robert Kagan and William Kristol *'Present Dangers: Crisis and Opportunity in American Foreign and Defense Policy 2000'*, Encounter Books

Strauss' political reference to neoconservative politics today is best defined within his dissection to the values of 'moral clarity' and the importance of 'regime'. Moral clarity demands the refusal of moral relativism: moral relativism accounts for a sense of unaccountability, no direction, a sense of 'malaise': an undesirable reality. To steady the mast of a drifting foreign policy a Straussian would stress the necessity for moral clarity. By adopting a positivist endeavour such as capitalism and liberal democracy one could be saved from relative malaise. Strauss rallied for liberal democracy, not because it was perfect but as the 'best pick from a bad bunch.' He also believed democracy to be very fragile and needed to be protected from tyranny. Thus, for Straussians, foreign policy is valued above all else, and the progress of democratic values constitutes the best method of protecting and reinforcing security and peace.

A leviathan needs his captains and this is where Leo Strauss' liberal democracy contradicts the conventional wisdom of a democratic society. He stresses the importance of the intellectual elite to exploit the mediocrity of ordinary people and keep society in order. Only through philosophy can a true intellectual come to understand the 'truth' such as the fact that there is no God and no divine justice. Worse still, his Machiavellianism shines when he claims that truths discovered by the philosophic elite "are not fit for public consumption and philosophers must cultivate a mode of concealing the hard truth from the masses." He teaches that the elite must lie to the masses and manipulate them for their own good, and those in power make rules to suit their own interest and call it justice.¹⁷

The Philosophers need to tell noble lies not only to the people at large, but also to powerful politicians.

Leo Strauss

¹⁷ Dr. Shadia B. Drury, *Leo Strauss and the American Right*, 2003

Maliciously the Straussians endorse an active, militant foreign policy targeting and endorsing 'regime change'; the current vehicle, in the name of freedom is a universal implantation of liberal democracies throughout the world. Moral clarity is a commitment to bringing into being a world where the better regimes: ideal liberal democracies predominate. Strauss interprets Hobbes' ethics to build his 'Leviathan' and actualise the necessity of an omnipotent and ruthless government to liberate humans from their meagre existence.

Strauss was close friends and studying with Carl Schmitt, they shared a love of Nietzsche and Heidegger, especially treatises on treatment of tyranny and man's irredeemable wickedness, and how the masses needed to be restrained by a powerful elite. Separated by War, Schmitt, a German literary behemoth, the ideal Nazi, had influence to arrange Leo Strauss refuge in France, later in London and finally at the University of Chicago in America. Schmitt, like Strauss, believed that a political order could only remain stable if it was united by an external threat, and if no external threat existed then one must be manufactured.

If the constitution of a state is democratic, then every exceptional negation of democratic principles, every exercise of state power independent of the approval of the majority, can be called dictatorship.

Carl Schmitt

Following on with this diabolical intellectual treachery, disciple Irving Kristol elaborates on the definition of nationalism: "Patriotism springs from love of the nation's past; nationalism arises out of the hope for the nation's future – that the goals of American foreign policy must go beyond a narrow, too literal definition of 'national security.'" It is the

national interest of a world power, as this is defined by a sense of national destiny ... not a myopic national security.”¹⁸

Today, William Kristol maintains to the theme with Lawrence F. Kaplan in their latest book, *The War over Iraq*, where they clearly indicate that American imperialism will not stop at a war with Iraq. They declare the occupation of Iraq concerns more than “the future of the Middle East and the war against international terrorism. It concerns the role which America aims to play in the 21st century.”¹⁹

I would hope the United States would join Israel in a military pre-emptive strike to take out the nuclear capability of Iran for the salvation of Western civilization.

Rev John Hagee

The strong emphasis of American devotion to the support for Israel's existence is both political and religious. Sharing ideals for liberal democracy can easily be understood for inspiring unwavering support to another country on a distant continent. However, sharing religious allegiance degrades any possibility for enlightened outcome. The Evangelical Christians who believe Zionism to usher in the second coming of Jesus are spiritually naïve to any concept to authenticate a Jew only state.²⁰ Alternately, the immigrant, primarily Ashkenazi Jew become Israeli Zionist has no concern to the true ancestry of the

¹⁸ Steffan Steinberg quoting Irving Kristol. *The ideological forebears of Washington's 'neo-conservatives'*, 2003 excellent deliberation here: (<http://www.lookingglassnews.org/viewcommentary.php?storyid=109>)

¹⁹ William Kristol and Lawrence F. Kaplan. *The war over Iraq-Saddam's Tyranny and America's Mission'*, 2003:46

²⁰ A state is a constitutional entity not spiritual

Israelites²¹, as argued by Jews against Zion.²²In fact, it is absurd to think any 'normal' American Jew has any significant cultural beliefs in common with traditional Judaism. Israel is a political hotbed fuelled by arrogant neoconervative badgering and Evangelical Christian ignorance to maintain the necessary adversarial antagonism for fuelling perpetual war, both imagined and real.

Founder of Christians United for Israel Rev John Hagee preaches a very controversial justification for unconditional support. He claims that the Holocaust was an attempt by God to force Jews to move to Israel, consequently Adolf Hitler is hailed a messenger of God. Hagee wants America to share enemies, for "they are the enemies of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. These enemies have drawn the battle line. If a line has to be drawn – then draw that line around both Christians and Jews! We are one; we are united! The end of the world as we know it is rapidly approaching ... rejoice and be exceedingly glad, the best is yet to be."²³

Faith and politics collide when lobby groups the likes of American Israeli Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) gather for annual conventions for romancing by anyone with political aspiration, yesterday John McCain, Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton spoke eternal allegiance to Israel. Obama claimed to 'Never take military action off the table in defending America's interests or those of Israel' and he 'will never

²¹ Arthur Koestler, *The Thirteenth Tribe: The Kazar Empire and its Heritage*, (PDF version from Scribd). Koestler traces Ashkenazim to the Khazars who are not descendents of Israelites. This claim is not anti-semitic, it renders it null, and should rightfully dispense with all racial prejudice. Israel was a U.N mandate not an act of God.

²² Jews against Zionism explain why traditional Jews do not support Zionism (the return to the land called "Israel") and why the Zionist ideology runs contrary to traditional Jewish law and beliefs and the teachings of the Holy Torah. (<http://www.jewsagainstzionism.com>)

²³ Rev John Hagee. 'The Battle For Jerusalem,' 2003. The comments make reference to the book however this quote is taken from a controversial speech made public after John McCain proudly announced his backing. (http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2008/05/21/mccain-backer-hagee-said_n_102892.html)

compromise when it comes to Israel's security'²⁴. Obama also enforced Jerusalem to be Israel's capital at the end of any two-state solution. Hillary made it clear that Obama was aware of his 'role' stating, "I know Sen. Obama shares my view that the next president must be ready to say to the world. Our position is unchanging, our resolve unyielding, our stance non-negotiable. The United States stands with Israel, now and forever."²⁵ John McCain also pledged to Israel: "it is a promise that the United States and Israel will honour against any enemy, against enemy, any enemy, against any enemy who cares to test us."²⁶ McCain repeated that America had unbreakable ties to Israel, that the two countries were natural allies and advocated international pressure to change the regime.²⁷

Last year to thunderous applause, Hagee addressed the podium at AIPAC with this to say: "I came here tonight to speak about Christians United for Israel and millions of evangelicals in America who have a deep-seated faith-based belief to love Israel, to speak up for Israel, to stand up for Israel, to pray for Israel and to financially support Israel until Israel achieves a just and lasting peace. . . we are bound together by the Torah, the roots of Christianity are Jewish. We are spiritual brothers and what we have in common is far greater than the things we've allowed to separate us over the years. . . there is the Torah way and then the wrong way"²⁸

²⁴ Senator Barack Obama. AIPAC Policy Conference, 2008
(<http://elections.jta.org/2008/06/04/379/obamas-speech-the-text/>)

²⁵ Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton. AIPAC Policy Conference, 2008
(<http://www.hillaryclinton.com/news/speech/view/?id=7899>)

²⁶ Not my mistake: as quoted

²⁷ Senator John McCain AIPAC speech (<http://www.imra.org.il/story.php?id=39526>)

²⁸ Pastor Hohn Hagee. AIPAC Policy Conference 2007

John J. Mearsheimer recently teamed²⁹ up with Stephen M. Walt and published a report detailing the involvement of AIPAC and other Israel lobby groups. *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*³⁰ explains many connections between the neo-conservatives and the war on Iraq:

‘It is AIPAC itself, however, that forms the core of the Lobby’s influence in Congress. AIPAC’s success is due to its ability to reward legislators and congressional candidates who support its agenda, and to punish those who challenge it. Money is critical to U.S. elections (as the recent scandal over lobbyist Jack Abramoff’s various shady dealings reminds us), and AIPAC makes sure that its friends get strong financial support from the myriad pro-Israel political action committees. Those seen as hostile to Israel, on the other hand, can be sure that AIPAC will direct campaign contributions to their political opponents. AIPAC also organizes letter-writing campaigns and encourages newspaper editors to endorse pro-Israel candidates.’³¹

Mearsheimer and Walt assert that neoconservatives are implicit with AIPAC: “which is a de facto agent for a foreign government, has a stranglehold on the U.S. Congress. Open debate about U.S. policy towards Israel does not occur there, even though that policy has important consequences for the entire world.”³²

Mearsheimer and Walt claim that the Lobby’s campaign to “squellch debate about Israel is unhealthy for democracy”, they highlight that AIPAC and neoconservatives organise blacklists and boycotts in the U.S congress and at educational institutions to silence all open debate

²⁹ Literally, Mearsheimer thought two academics talking about political suicide may save his career. To a certain degree it worked if we are to compare him with a lone gun like Norman G Finkelstein.

³⁰ John J. Mearsheimer & Stephen M. Walt. *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*, 2006

³¹ Ibid,.30 pg17

³² Ibid,.30 pg18

concerning Israel: “Efforts to stifle debate by intimidation must be roundly condemned by those who believe in free speech and open discussion of important public issues.”³³

Neoconservatives are obviously in positions of influence and are well-placed throughout our government and the media.

Ron Paul

Mearsheimer and Walt highlight the nationalist rather than liberal aspects of capitalist democracy. At AIPAC future American presidents must beg for substantial financial and critical political endorsement: the materialisation of the neoconservative doctrine is loaded with insider trading. From the ashes of the Jewish holocaust, the neoconservative empire provides the Jewish exodus with phenomenal financial rewards. A marriage between Straussian esoteric philosophy and American Capitalist elitism bestows phenomenal wealth upon select corporations and elite families. If Strauss is correct about the status of ignorant masses then esoteric ‘will’ becomes a physical and psychological onslaught which perpetuates a reality that keeps citizens in service to the oligarchs.

It is no coincidence that one of the most financial names in American history; the Rockefeller foundation, financed Strauss his America odyssey.³⁴ The belief that war brings prosperity must sound absurd to the average citizen considering the destruction and expense of any military undertaking. Money is flowing; not through to the tax paying citizen, but to the racketeering wealthy elites, who, with or for the likes of the Rockefellers³⁵, sponsor the philosophical and political ideals to

³³ Ibid.,30 pg41

³⁴ Eustace Mullins, *The World Order - A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism* 1984. (Great resource to find out how financiers of the Great Wars have cashed in)

³⁵ Read Mullins, would it surprise you to know that the Rockerfellers (owners of America) are Rothschilds (owners of the World) underling.

influence their chosen political candidates. It is common knowledge that select companies and corporations (the likes of AEGIS, BearingPoint, Bechtel, BKS&H & Associates, CACI, Titan, Custer Battles, Haliburton, Lockheed Martin, Loral Satellite, Qualcomm, Trireme and Blackwater to name just a few), are not only profiteering from war but unashamedly occupy strong ties throughout the American political establishment.

The masses are won over through a propaganda apparatus whose maximum effect relies on an appeal to immediate interests and passions.

Carl Schmitt

Unethical and fraudulent investments in industrial military apparatus³⁶ and civil reconstruction highlights just one side of an ugly coin, for it is important to understand the manipulative effects of propaganda to authenticate a perpetual war agenda. The influence of neoconservative philosophy toward political reality and literary discipline must also address financial nepotism.

Along with the British Monarchy and Lord Rothschild the Rockefellers financed Wellington House, later to become the Tavistock Institute for Human Relations³⁷, whose founding staff included Arnold Toynbee, Walter Lippman and Freud's nephew Edward Bernays. John Coleman tells us that Wellington House was established primarily as a public relations 'think tank' to persuade England into WWI. The Social Science to come from this institute, what Toynbee called 'a lie factory'³⁸, is claimed to be behind all the propaganda programs that influenced

³⁶ Robert Greenwalt, *'Iraq for Sale'* documentary 2006 (<http://iraqforsale.org/>)

³⁷ John Coleman, *The Tavistock Institute of Human Relations: Shaping the Moral, Spiritual, Political and Economic Decline of the United States*, 2005

³⁸ *Ibid.*, 37, Preface

American support for their war efforts including WWII, Vietnam, Serbia and Iraq.

If you can influence the leaders, either with or without their conscious cooperation, you automatically influence the group which way they sway. This technique is called 'opinion making'.

Edward Bernays

A noteworthy mention to the fact that Joseph Goebbels, famous for engineering Hitler's rise to power was an admirer of Bernays and used his book "*Crystallizing Public Opinion*"³⁹ to harness public and political persuasion; a vehicle as important as the industrial and economic engines that prepared the German people to wage WWII.

In similar vein: the neoconservative rise to eminence did not just materialise because it was the right doctrine for post cold war disorder. More to the point, the intellectuals who value the liberal conception of natural right⁴⁰ and oligarchic domination continue to receive generous financial backing and sponsorship to perpetuate the war rhetoric and brainwashing agenda. Today's neoconservatism is not only endorsed through the very intellectual and networked 'think tanks', but also with the help of prime time television and major newspaper publication. The most notorious example being Rupert Murdoch who pushes and finances the neoconservative agenda: to the extent that all his media outlets blatantly and unethically never question the need or legitimacy of war.⁴¹

All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order.

David Rockefeller

³⁹ Edward L. Bernays, *Crystallizing Public Opinion*, 2004

⁴⁰ It sounds natural but this is Straussian deception.

⁴¹ Hon. Ron Paul, *In the House of Representatives: Neo-Conned* 2003

This paper has established that throughout the 1990s a majorly neoconservative movement contributed volumes proclaiming a unilateral pro-war omnipotent, unipolar American hegemony. Likewise, realists such as Henry Kissinger and Zbigniew Brezinski contributed toward maintaining and advancing American dominance. Both camps stressed the importance of American involvement in the Middle East and urged to wage war with Iraq. *The Grand Chessboard*⁴² by Brezinski clearly outlined the strategic military imperatives necessary to forward the American empire by targeting Eurasia: to control “two of the worlds three most advanced and economically productive regions.”⁴³ Brezinski lamented that the pursuit of power was not ‘popular’ and democracy was ‘inemical to imperial mobilisation’⁴⁴ and claimed that America needed a “sudden threat or challenge to the public’s sense of domestic well being.”⁴⁵ Brezinski concluded, “Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multi-cultural society, it may be more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat.”⁴⁶

In September of 2000, PNAC published their document, *Rebuilding Americas Defences*⁴⁷, proclaiming the 1992 Wolfowitz and Cheney Defense Planning Guidance to have the necessary guidelines as a roadmap for the nations immediate and future defence strategy. Rebuilding Americas Defences furthered the talks on increased military

⁴² Zbigniew Brezinski, *The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*, 1997

⁴³ Ibid.,42 pg31

⁴⁴ Ibid.,42 pg35

⁴⁵ Ibid.,42 pg40

⁴⁶ Ibid.,42 pg211

⁴⁷ Donald Kagan, Gary Schmitt, Thomas Donnelly & Co. *Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategy, Forces and Resources*, 2000

spending to modernise the military force with immediate attention paid to the ability to fight two simultaneous major wars. The report, as with Brezinski's Grand Chessboard, bemoaned for another Pearl Harbor⁴⁸ to further the process for a revolutionary transformation. A few months later George Bush stole the Presidency, with Cheney and most of the PNAC administration following him to office. The plans were on the table and all they needed was a catastrophic event to put them to action.

You know, through the tears of sadness I see an opportunity. Make no mistake about it, this nation is sad. But we're also tough and resolute. And now is an opportunity to do generations a favor, by coming together and whipping terrorism; hunting it down, finding it and holding them accountable. The nation must understand, this is now the focus of my administration. We will very much engage in domestic policy, of course. I look forward to working with Congress on a variety of issues. But now that war has been declared on us, we will lead the world to victory, to victory.

George Walker Bush

As if by miracle, The events of September 11 answered the neoconservative prayers. President Bush reportedly wrote in his diary on the night of 9/11: 'The Pearl Harbor of the 21st century took place today.'⁴⁹ Immediately after the attacks, neoconservative Robert Kagan published his Washington Post article, *We Must Fight This War*, arguing for a military response; 'Not by asking what we have done to bring on the wrath of inhuman murderers. Not by figuring out ways to reason with, or try to appease those who have spilled our blood. Not by engaging in an extended legal effort to arraign, try and convict killers,

⁴⁸ Ibid., 42 pg51

⁴⁹ Dan Balz and Bob Woodward, *America's Chaotic Road to War*, Washington post.com 2002 (<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A42754-2002Jan26.html>)

as if they were criminals and not warriors. But by doing the only thing we now can do: Go to war with those who have launched this awful war against us.⁵⁰The drum beat for war was also echoed by Henry Kissinger, who said that America should respond to the attacks of 9/11 just like it had responded to Pearl Harbor.⁵¹ Donald Rumsfeld stated that 9/11 created “the kind of opportunities that World War II offered, to refashion the world.”⁵²

The ideas for achieving military omnipotence became official policy one year after 9/11 with the publication of the National Security Strategy of the United States of America (NSS 2002). This document, referred to as the ‘Bush Doctrine’, adopted the neoconservative strategies that have been discussed since WWII, but more decisively throughout the 1990s. Thus post 911, America postulated Terrorism as an adversary to harness consensus and actively focus on a doctrine of pre-emptive as preventive war.

In order to bring a nation to support the burdens of maintaining great military establishments, it is necessary to create an emotional state akin to war psychology. There must be the portrayal of an external menace. This involves the development to a high degree of the nation-hero, nation-villain ideology and the arousing of the population to a sense of sacrifice. Once these exist, we have gone a long way on the path to war.

John Foster Dulles

⁵⁰ Robert Kagan, *We Must Fight This War*, Washington, September 11 2001 (<http://www.carnegieendowment.org/publications/index.cfm?fa=view&id=768>)

⁵¹ Henry Kissinger, *Destroy the Network*, Washington Post Sept 11 2001

⁵² Donald Rumsfeld, Interview with New York Times Oct 12 2001

The realist come neoconservative Philip Zelikow who helped Condoleezza Rice draft the NSS 2002, co-authored a paper in 1998 titled 'Catastrophic Terrorism' with Ashton B Carter and John Deutch. They claimed that because terrorists could access Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) and orchestrate an event the scale of a 'new Pearl Harbor', it would not only involve the loss of life, but also cause America to "enforce draconian measures, scaling back civil liberties, allowing wider surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects, and deadly use of force."⁵³

Barely time for the dust to settle after the events of September 11, President Bush signed into Law the USA Patriot Act On October 26 2001, giving FBI and CIA greater rights to wiretap phones, monitor e-mails, survey medical, financial and student records, and break into homes and offices without notification.⁵⁴ Ideally orchestrated against potential 'Terrorists', however the loose definitions behind the Patriot Act could be applied to any citizen legally expressing any dissent.

In November 2002, the Bush Presidency rushed⁵⁵ through Senate the Homeland Security Act, this bill is very contentious, many civil rights and liberties organizations are incensed because it jeopardizes citizens' First Amendment rights to engage in lawful free speech and public dissent. The Bush Presidency miraculously stole office for a second term and persuaded Congress to pass the Military Commission's Act in September 2006. Effectively suspending *habeas corpus*, the policy not only violated the basic human rights of a prisoner of war but that of every citizen who could be detained for any reason.

⁵³ Ashton Carter, John Deutch, and Philip Zelikow, 'Catastrophic Terrorism: Tackling the New Danger,' *Foreign Affairs*, November/December 1998, 80-94 (<http://cryptome.quintessenz.at/mirror/ct-tnd.htm>).

⁵⁴ For example, Dan Eggen 'FBI Papers Indicate Intelligence Violations,' *Washintopnpost.com*

The day after September 11, Charles Krauthammer published, *This is not crime, this is war*, in the Weekly Standard and declared the attack was a declaration of war, his “enemy has identified itself in public and openly. Our delicate sensibilities have prevented us from pronouncing its name. Its name is radical Islam,” he goes on to name Hezbollah in Lebanon, Hamas in Palestine, Islamic Jihad in Israel, Osama bin Laden Organization, and various Arab “liberation fronts” based in Damascus.

‘and then there are the governments: Iran, Iraq, Syria and Libya among them. Which one was responsible? We will find out soon enough. Every nation has a choice to make. In this conflict, there is no neutral ground. If any government sponsors the outlaws and killers of innocence, they have become outlaws and murderers themselves. And they will take that lonely path at their own peril.’⁵⁶

It is shocking to think that some people view 911 as a fortuitous event; these Patriots are convinced to be ‘winning’ the war against terror. Perhaps establishing military bases along the Trans–Afghanistan Pipeline⁵⁷ and the control of Iraqi resources⁵⁸ is exactly the neoconservative vision for liberal democracy and freedom, at least, perhaps, some lucky Iraqi may buy into the new ‘regime’ for a share of the profits.

The most famous modern neoconservative, Francis Fukuyama could take no more, he raised his conscience and spoke out against Krauthammer:

“The 2004 speech is strangely disconnected from reality. Reading Krauthammer, one gets the impression that the Iraq War—the

⁵⁶ President Bush’s Speech October 7, 2001

⁵⁷ Uri Averbury, *The Great Game: Oil Sharon and the Axis of Evil*, 2002. (<http://www.counterpunch.org/avneroyil.html>) “

⁵⁸ Ed Vuillamy, *The Guardian*, *Israel seeks pipeline for Iraqi oil*, 2003. (<http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2003/apr/20/israelandthepalestinians.oil>)

archetypical application of American unipolarity—had been an unqualified success, with all of the assumptions and expectations on which the war had been based fully vindicated. There is not the slightest nod towards the new empirical facts that have emerged in the last year or so: the failure to find weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, the virulent and steadily mounting anti-Americanism throughout the Middle East, the growing insurgency in Iraq, the fact that no strong democratic leadership had emerged there, the enormous financial and growing human cost of the war, the failure to leverage the war to make progress on the Israeli–Palestinian front, and the fact that America's fellow democratic allies had by and large failed to fall in line and legitimate American actions *ex post*.”⁵⁹

In *The neoconservative moment* Fukuyama argues the fundamental flaw of neoconservatism was not acknowledging the reality of the situation and said it was time for a new ideological orientation. Fukuyama proposed a new foreign policy which he called ‘realistic Wilsonianism.’⁶⁰ Fukuyama, still confident that democracy is good and needs promotion, calls for a ‘softer power’, back to multilateral cooperation with international institutions. Fukuyama concedes that terrorism is a more ‘existential’ threat and not the enemy worth losing sleep over. He is also forced to abandon his ‘last man’ thesis conceding that the liberal Arabs will be less dangerous if satiated by consumerism, rather than ‘strive for recognition’ as the eternal antithesis to liberal democracy.⁶¹

Fukuyama conjures Patrick Buchanan a conservative fighting for the name of conservatism, ironic but rightfully so: an arch nemesis of the neoconservatives. In 2004 Buchanan challenged Richard Perle and his

⁵⁹ Francis Fukuyama, *The Neoconservative Moment*, National Interest, 2004:2

⁶⁰ Francis Fukuyama, *America at the crossroads: Democracy, Power, and the Neoconservative Legacy* 2006

⁶¹ *Ibid.*,. 60

co-authored book, *An End to Evil*, by claiming that Perle was losing his grip on reality. In his book Perle claims 'it is victory or holocaust', that the idea of not containing terrorism has threatened 'our survival as a nation,'⁶² Buchanan counters with: 'Fear is what Perle and his co-author David Frum are peddling to stampede America into serial wars.'⁶³ Founder of the *The American Conservative*, in his article *No End to War*, a critique of the neoconservatives, Buchanan highlights that the neoconservative war agenda conjures enemies without any qualifications and beside war with North Korea reads as if it was drawn up by the Israeli Military Force.

Buchanan continually makes reference to the neoconservative connections with Israel, to the extent that he argues America invaded Iraq for Israeli interests.⁶⁴ In another article, *Whose War*, Buchanan argues that neoconservatives themselves passionately claim an attachment to Israel, however if mentioned by anyone opposing their motive for war, they get labelled as anti-semite, he argues: "Indeed, it is the charge of "anti-Semitism" itself that is toxic. For this venerable slander is designed to nullify public discourse by smearing and intimidating foes and censoring and blacklisting them and any who would publish them. Neocons say we attack them because they are Jewish. We do not. We attack them because their warmongering threatens our country, even as it finds a reliable echo in Ariel Sharon."⁶⁵

The rhetoric of war has always been an undercurrent of US foreign policy. Even if Presidents make claims to being libertarian or isolationist, in reality America is always looking for conflict: making

⁶² David Frum, Richard Perle, *An End to Evil: How to Win the War on Terror*, 2003

⁶³ Patrick J. Buchanan, '*No End to War*,' *The American Conservative*, 2004 (http://www.amconmag.com/3_1_04/cover.html)

⁶⁴ *Ibid.*, 34

⁶⁵ Patrick J. Buchanan. '*Whose War?*' *The American Conservative*, 2004 (http://www.amconmag.com/03_24_03/cover.html)

resolutions or mounting humanitarian missions. The rhetoric of war maintains the reality we live in, people involved with today's neoconservatives or yesterdays communists, socialist, anarchists or fascists will continue the eternal myth of war and conquest both real and imagined.

Most, maybe all, neoconservatives are not even second generation, they emigrated from Europe as with the Likudniks in Israel. They are all closely related and connected, both physically and meta-physically with the people and movements that destroyed Europe throughout the 20th Century. All these people desire greatness,⁶⁶ something not found in normal life, so they go to extraordinary measures to achieve it. The philosopher as declared by Leo Strauss, is an esoteric force influencing and affecting historic progression: beyond the lay perception.

We all know that George Bush is too stupid to be the president⁶⁷, and we know all leaders have their speeches made for them. The neoconservatives wield a philosophy that holds an unfair advantage over all other ideological beliefs: it reinforces oligarchy. This paper has clearly outlined the fundamental connections between neoconservative ideology and its esoteric application, however, if one wants to know the true puppeteer then they must look further into the cash flow behind the interactions.⁶⁸

⁶⁶ Friedrich Nietzsche and his 'uberman'

⁶⁷ Top ten stupid Bush Quotes
(<http://politicalhumor.about.com/cs/georgewbush/a/top10bushisms.htm>)

⁶⁸ It is the intention of this paper to stimulate a curiosity of the reader to look beyond conventional wisdom, most events are big lies, so if an event like 911 can transpire without any genuine investigation, then the public can only be blamed for not demanding further explanation. We are paying for it.

The neoconservatives may have had their 'moment' but nonetheless, like Joseph Stalin and Adolf Hitler before them, have played their part toward the dissolution of state: as they are formed into Unions: next step is One World Order.⁶⁹

Waging war with the world should be cause for alarm, but it isn't. In foreign politics, philosophy as with media, the discourse of reason, integrity, peace, preservation or equality, wherever it matters, are absent, as within this dissection: Straussian rhetoric is only about war and tyranny. A conceived concept, a millennial accumulation of psychological propaganda has created an absolutely illogical war at war with terror.

⁶⁹ I know this is controversial but they do say it.

Bibliography

- 1977 Senate hearing on Mkultra by U.S senate.
<http://www.blackvault.com/documents/remoteviewing/hearing/hearing0.htm>
- Alterman, Eric, "*Neoconning the Media*", Original Research, 2005,
<http://www.mediatransparency.org/story.php?storyID=2>
- Brezinski, Zbigniew, "*The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geostategic Imperatives*", 1997
- Carter, Ashton, Deutch, John, and Zelikow, Philip, "*Catastrophic Terrorism: Tackling the New Danger*," Foreign Affairs, November/December 1998
- Clemons, Steven C. "*The Neoconservative moment by Francis Fukuyama*", The Washington Post, 2004
- Chomsky, Noam. "*Dictators R Us*", Information Clearing House, 2003
<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article5411.htm>
- Coleman, John. "*The Tavistock Institute of Human Relations: Shaping the Moral, Spiritual, Political and Economic Decline of the United States*," 2005
- Domestic Security Enhancement Act of 2003, draft
- Defence Planning Guidance*' Department of Defence, 1992. Information leaked by Patrick E. Tyler of the *New York Times*:
(<http://www.btinternet.com/~nlpwessex/Documents/Wolfowitz92memo.htm>)
- Dorrien, Gary. "*Benevolent Global Hegemony William Kristol and the Politics of American Empire*," 2006 <http://www.logosjournal.com/dorrien.htm>
- Drury, Dr. Shadia B. "*Leo Strauss and the American Right*," 2003
- Bernays, Edward L. "*Crystallizing Public Opinion*", 2004
- Griffin, David Ray, "*Neocon Imperialism, 9/11, and the Attacks on Afghanistan and Iraq*", 911 Truth, 2007,
(<http://www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20070227235042773>)
- Kagan, Donald. Schmitt, Gary. Donnelly, Thomas & Co. "*Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategy, Forces and Resources*", 2000
- Kagan, Robert. "*We Must Fight This War*", Washington, September 11 2001
- Kagan, Robert and Kristol, William. "*Present Dangers: Crisis and Opportunity in American Foreign and Defense Policy 2000*", Encounter Books
- Krauthammer, Charles. "*Shield Isreal under our nuclear umbrella*", Opinion Columnists, 2008, www.redding.com
- Krauthammer, Charles, "*The Unipolar Moment*", Memorial Lecture, Washington, 1990

- Krauthammer, Charles, "*Democratic Realism*", Short Publications, 2004, http://www.aci.aei.org/publications/pubID.19912.filter.all/pub_detail.asp
- Krauthammer, Charles. '*Universal Dominion: Toward a Unipolar World.*' National Interest, Winter 1989
- Krauthammer, Charles, "*The Unipolar Moment Revisited*", The National Interest-winter 2002/03, 2002
- Krauthammer, Charles, "*Fukuyama's Fantasy*", Washington Post, 2006, <http://washingtonpost.com>
- Kristol, Irving. *The Neoconservative Persuasion*, The Weekly Standard 2003
- Koestler, Arthur, "*The Thirteenth Tribe: The Kazar Empire and its Heritage.*" (PDF version from Scribd).
- Kristol, William and Kaplan, Lawrence F. "*The war over Iraq-Saddam's Tyranny and America's Mission*", 2003
- Kristol, William and Kagan, Robert. "*Toward a Neo-Reaganite Foreign Policy*," 1996:32
- Layne, Christopher, "*The Unipolar Illusion*", International Security, vol 17, Massachusetts, 1993
- Mearsheimer, John J. & Walt, Stephen M. "*The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*", 2006
- Mullins, Eustace. "*The World Order - A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*" 1984
- Paul, Ron, "*Neo-Conned*", House of Representatives, 2003, <http://www.house.gov/paul/congree2003/cr071003.htm>
- Podhoretz, Dr Norman, "*The Neoconservatives*", *Institute of Public Affairs, IPA Review*, 1982
- Podhoretz, Norman. "*Neoconservatism: A Eulogy*," Commentary, 1996
- Ruppert, Michael C, "*How Stupid do they think we are?*", From The Wilderness, 1984, www.copvicia.com
- Steinburg, Michele, "*Wolfowitz Cabal Is an Enemy Within U.S.*", Information Clearing House, **need date** <http://informationclearinghouse.info/article1991.htm>
- Tyler, Patrick E, "*U.S Strategy Plan Calls for Insuring No Rivals Develop*", New York Times, 1994 <http://homepage.newschool.edu/~quigleyt/dpg94-99.html>
- Web Right, "*Charles Krauthammer*", International Relations Centre, 2007, <http://rightweb.ire-online.org/profile/1252.html>
- Web Right, "*Irving Kristol*", International Relations Centre, 2007, <http://rightweb.ire-online.org/profile/1253.html>

Web Right, "*American Enterprise Institute*", International Relations Centre, 2007,
<http://rightweb.ire-online.org/profile/1431.html>

Web Right, "*Project for the New American Century*", International Relations
Centre, 2007, <http://rightweb.ire-online.org/profile/1535.html>

Web Right, "*Paul Wolfowitz*", International Relations Centre, 2007,
<http://rightweb.ire-online.org/profile/1390.html>

Weiss. Philip, "*Ferment Over The Israel Lobby*", The Nation, 2006
<http://www.thenation.com/doc/20060515/weiss/2>

"Rebuilding America's Defences-A Summary"
<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article3249.htm>